TRAITÉ DE COOPÉRATION EN MATIÈRE DE BREVETS

PCT

RAPPORT PRÉLIMINAIRE INTERNATIONAL SUR LA BREVETABILITÉ

(chapitre I du Traité de coopération en matière de brevets)

(règle 44bis du PCT)

Référence du dossier du déposant ou du mandataire R9597WO	POUR SUITE À DONNER	Voir le point 4 ci-dessous
Demande internationale no. PCT/FR2004/003378	Date du dépôt international (jour/mois/année) 23 December 2004 (23.12.2004)	Date de priorité (jour/mois/année) 30 January 2004 (30.01.2004)
	internationale des brevets (8 ^e edition, sauf indication d'une #dition ant#rieure) mations pertinentes dans le formulaire PCT/ISA/237	
Déposant WAVECOM		

1.	Le présent rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I) est établi par le Bureau international au nom de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale selon la règle 44bis.1.a).		
2.	Ce RAPPORT comprend un total de 8 feuilles, y compris la présente feuille de couverture.		
	Dans les feuilles jointes, toute rentendue, à la place, comme un	éférence à l'opinion écrite de l'administration chargée de la recherche internationale doit être e référence au rapport préliminaire international sur la brevetabilité (chapitre I).	
3.	Le présent rapport contient des	indications relatives aux points suivants :	
	Cadre n° I	Base de l'opinion	
	Cadre n° ∏	Priorité	
	Cadre nº III	Absence de formulation d'opinion quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle	
	Cadre n° IV	Absence d'unité de l'invention	
	Cadre n° V	Déclaration motivée selon l'article 35.2) quant à la nouveauté, l'activité inventive et la possibilité d'application industrielle; citations et explications à l'appui de cette déclaration	
	Cadre n° VI	Certains documents cités	
	Cadre n° VII	Certaines irrégularités relevées dans la demande internationale	
	Cadre n° VIII	Certaines observations relatives à la demande internationale	
4.	Le Bureau international commu mais pas avant l'expiration du c requête expresse à cet,égard en	uniquera le présent rapport aux offices désignés conformément aux règles 44bis.3.c) et 93bis.1 délai de 30 mois à compter de la date de priorité (règle 44bis.2), sauf si le déposant a présenté une vertu de l'article 23.2).	
		Date d'établissement du présent rapport 18 October 2006 (18.10.2006)	

Fonctionnaire autorisé

e-mail: pt04@wipo.int

Athina Nickitas-Etienne

no de télécopieur +41 22 338 82 70 Formulaire PCT/IB/373 (janvier 2004)

Bureau international de l'OMPI 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION R9597WO See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) 23.12.2004 30.01.2004 PCT/FR2004/003378 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC H04L27/34, H04L25/06 Applicant WAVECOM This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP

Telephone No.

Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003378

Box	No. I Basis of this opinion	
1.	With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it will filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.	as
	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (und	a
	Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claim invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ned
	a. type of material	
	a sequence listing	
	table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b. format of material	
	in written format	
	in computer readable form	
	c. time of filing/furnishing	
	contained in the international application as filed.	
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
	La difference to the same that were the same provided as accordance listing and/or table(s) solution therete has been filed	œ
3.	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	as
4.	Additional comments:	
	·	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003378

Box	Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement			
1.	Statement			
	Novelty	(N)	Claims 1-25	YES
			Claims	NO
	Inventive	e step (IS)	Claims 1-25	YES
			Claims	
	Industria	ıl applicability (L	A) Claims 1-25	YES
			Claims	
2.	Citations an	d explanations:		
2.			made to the following documents:	
			2002/131515 A1 (RODRIGUEZ, MICHAEL J.), 19	
			eptember 2002 (2002-09-19)	
			P-A-0 594 505 (TELEDIFFUSION FSE; FRANCE	
			CLECOM (FR)), 27 April 1994 (1994-04-27)	
			99/56442 A (ERICSSON, INC.), 4 November	
			999 (1999-11-04)	
		D4: FF	R-A-2 836 764 (WAVECOM, SA), 5 September	
		20	003 (2003-09-05)	
		D5: US	S-A-6 078 626 (RAMESH, RAJARAM), 20 June	
		20	000 (2000-06-20)	
		D6: US	S-A-4 493 082 (FOSTER, ALUN E. ET AL.), 8	
		Já	anuary 1985 (1985-01-08)	
	1.	The sub	eject matter of claim 1 involves an inventive	•
		step (I	PCT Article 33(3)) for the following	
		reasons	3: ·	
	1.1	Documer	nt D1 describes:	
		a metho	od for receiving	
			modulated signal based on a main	
			onstellation (16-QAM), called the main	
	i	s	ignal, and	

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- at least one modulated signal based on a secondary constellation (QPSK), called a secondary signal, the said secondary constellation being included in the said main constellation,

the said method comprising

- a step for demodulating the said main signal, thus delivering, for each of the elements of the said main constellation, a piece of reliability information related to the reception of the said element, called the main reliability information.
- 1.2 Claim 1 adds to this an additional step
 - for determining, for at least one element of the said secondary constellation, at least one piece of reliability information related to the reception of the said element, called the secondary reliability information, from at least one of the said pieces of main reliability information

in order to demodulate the said secondary signal. Thus, the method of claim 1 describes a method for determining a piece of secondary information from the demodulation of the signal based on a main constellation.

The problem may be considered to be to simplify the demodulation of the secondary signal in a demodulator adapted to the main constellation.

1.3 In order to demodulate a secondary signal, document

involves an inventive step.

information is determined.

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(l) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D1 proposes to adapt the corresponding demodulation step to the secondary constellation, i.e. to demodulate the signal received based on the secondary constellation. Thus, document D1 leads in a different direction from the solution corresponding to the invention.

Consequently, the subject matter of claim 1

1.4 Document D2 applies to a modulation wherein a piece of main information defines a quadrant of a 16-QAM constellation and wherein the points in the quadrant are selected by a piece of secondary information. In order to demodulate, the main information is first decoded on the basis of flexible information, after which the secondary

The method in this document cannot be modified so that the constellation corresponding to the secondary information is included in the constellation corresponding to the main information.

D3 describes a method for demodulating a signal having two different constellations. The so-called main constellation contains the so-called secondary constellation. The estimation of the channel is always performed with the help of a synchronisation signal based on the secondary constellation and an information signal is demodulated based on either one of the two constellations.

Box No. V

Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

D4 provides a few details regarding the generation of flexible information and MAP decoding. This document does not deal with multiple constellations.

D5 describes a method for demodulating a received signal that has been modulated based on a 16-QAM constellation by separating the constellation into two subsets. But this document does not disclose the use of the reliability information of a main constellation to determine the reliability information of a secondary constellation.

D6 applies to a modulation wherein a piece of main information defines a subset of a constellation and wherein the points of the subset are selected by a piece of secondary information. The subset is determined by decoding the main information; the secondary information is then determined by deciding on the symbol of this subset.

The method in this document cannot be modified so that the constellation corresponding to the secondary information is included in the constellation corresponding to the main information.

The features of the receiver in claim 17 are defined using the same wording as the steps of method claim 1. Consequently, claim 17 satisfies the requirements of PCT Article 33(2) and (3) for the same reasons as claim 1.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/FR2004/003378

	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	PCT/FR2004/003378		
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, invectations and explanations supporting such statement	ntive step or industrial applicability;		
	•	,		
3	Claims 2-16 depend on claim 1 and claims 18-24			
	depend on claim 17. Therefore, the	se claims also		
	satisfy, as such, the requirements	of PCT Article		
	33(2) and (3).			
	•			
	•			
		·		
		•		
•				
		•		